

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/046,164	Applicant(s) LAMPKINS, GARY W.	
	Examiner /Jila M. Mohandesi/	Art Unit 3728	

All Participants:

(1) /Jila M. Mohandesi/

(2) Gary W. Lampkins

Date of Interview: 17 July 2007

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Double patenting

Claims discussed:
3-5


Prior art documents discussed:
Lampkins (US 6,260,246)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JILA M. MOHANDESI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A rejection on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting was explained to the applicant. Applicant upon receiving the final office action will submit a timely filed terminal disclaimer in order to place the application in condition for allowance. .